

720 Third Ave. Suite 1110

436 14th Street Suite 820 (206) 652-2454 (510) 844-0680

Suite 300M Seattle, WA 98104 Oakland, CA 94612 Columbus, OH 43214 (614) 268-1660

May 9-16, 2013

N=1000; MoE: <u>+</u>3.1

EMC 13-4891

4041 North High Street 610 SW Alder Street Suite 521 Portland, OR 97205 (503) 444-6000

EMCresearch.com

Survey of Likely 2014 Bay Area Voters April 27, 2014 - May 7, 2014 n=1000, Margin of Error = \pm 3.1 points EMC Research #14-5215

July 5-14, 2011

N=1500; MoE: <u>+</u>2.43

EMC 11-4463

Where applicable, results are compared with:

March 29-April 2, 2006

N=500; MoE: <u>+</u>4.4

EMC 06-3455

	Likely November 2006 Voters	Likely November 2012 Voters	Likely November 2014 Voters
A		present percentage (%) values, unding, percentages may not ad	unless otherwise noted. Please d up to exactly 100.
He	ello, my name is, m	nay I speak with (Name	on list)?
Ва	y Area feel about some of the o	nd I'm calling from EMC Research different issues facing them. We n on a scientific and completely	are not trying to sell anything,
1.	Are you registered to vote Yes No → TERMINAT		100
2.	election for Governor, Cor	but what are the chances that yongress and other local offices and obably vote, are the chances 50	d measures are you almost /50, or do you think that you will
	Almost certain/ Defin	itely	86
	Probably		9
	50/50 chance	DRAINIA TE	4
		RMINATE MINATE	-
	Don't know→ TERN	VIIINA I E	-

EMC#14-5215 -2-

3. Do you feel that things in the Bay Area are generally going in the right direction or do you feel things have gotten pretty seriously off on the wrong track?

	2006	2011	2013	2014
Right Direction	38	35	50	50
Wrong Track	46	46	36	31
(Don't know)	16	20	14	19

4. Now, I'm going to read you a measure that may appear on the ballot in the future:

San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Program.

To protect and restore San Francisco Bay to benefit future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority levy an annual parcel tax of nine dollars per year for ten years with citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?

If the election were held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this measure? (IF Undecided: Well, which way do you lean — toward voting Yes to approve or voting No to reject the measure?)

Yes approve	62	
(Undecided, lean yes)	6	→68
No reject	23	
(Undecided, lean no)	2	→ 25
(Don't know/ Refused)	6	

EMC#14-5215 -3-

5. Based on what you know, how would you rate the overall condition of the San Francisco Bay?

SCALE:	Excellent	Good	Only Fair	Poor	(Don't know)
4/2014	3	38	37	12	10
5/2013	5	37	40	10	8
7/2011	4	41	37	9	8
8/2010	3	40	43	10	5
4/2006	=	-	=	-	=

6. Which of the following is closer to your opinion (read and randomize choices 1 & 2. Read "OR" between 2 statements. Do not read numbers.)

	2006	2011	2013	2014
¹ I am willing to invest in the Bay, even if it means a small increase in my taxes	75	54	52	59
² There are too many other priorities in this area, I would not support even a small tax increase for the Bay	21	38	33	31
(Both)	-	1	3	2
(Neither)	1	3	6	3

¹ For 2006, and 2011: I am willing to invest in wetland restoration around the Bay, even if it means a small increase in my taxes.

² For 2006, and 2011: There are too many other priorities in this area, I would not support even a small tax increase for wetland restoration around the Bay.

EMC#14-5215 -4-

I'm going to read you some things people have said in support of this Bay restoration measure. For each statement please tell me how compelling this is as a reason to support the measure. Please use a scale from 1 to 7 where one is not at all compelling and seven is a very compelling reason to support a Bay restoration measure.

(IF NEEDED) ... How compelling is that as a reason to support a Bay restoration measure? One is not at all compelling and seven is very compelling.

not at	all compelling an	d seven	is very co	ompelling	g.				1
	Not at all						Very		
	compelling						compelling	(Don't	
SCALI	E: 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	know)	Mean
(RAND	OMIZE)								
7.	This measure wil	_		orove wild	dlife habi	tat and w	vill bring back	varieties	of fish,
	10	4	7	10	18	18	32	2	5.07
8.	Over the last cen pollution. It is no generations.	=			=		=		
9.	If we want our ch – one that will be up and restore it	e part o	_				-		-
	9	4	7	9	17	17	36	1	5.19
10.	Pollution of the E are contaminate Bay is the key to	d with h	narmful ch	nemicals l	like PCB's	, mercur	y and pesticide		-
	9	2	7	10	18	18	35	2	5.20
11.	San Francisco Ba walk, jog, or visit The Bay is essent clean and health	parks a ial to o	long its sl	nores; or	simply ap	opreciate	it as we drive	over a b	ridge.
	9	4	6	10	20	18	32	1	5.11
12.	The Bay Area Con around the Bay A economic health	\rea are	supporti	ng this m	easure be	ecause it	is good for ou	r commu	nity and
	12	5	10	15	21	14	21	2	4.55

EMC#14-5215 -5-

13. Given what you've heard, if the election were held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject a measure that reads:

San Francisco Bay Clean Water, Pollution Prevention and Habitat Restoration Program.

To protect and restore San Francisco Bay to benefit future generations by reducing trash, pollution and harmful toxins, improving water quality, restoring habitat for fish, birds and wildlife, protecting communities from floods and increasing shoreline public access, shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority levy an annual parcel tax of nine dollars per year for ten years with citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying local?

If the election were held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this measure? (IF Undecided: Well, which way do you lean — toward voting Yes to approve or voting No to reject the measure?)

Yes approve	69	
(Undecided, lean yes)	3	→ 72
No reject	25	
(Undecided, lean no)	1	→ 25
(Don't know/ Refused)	3	

Now I'd like to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only.

14. Do you primarily consider yourself to be African-American, White, Hispanic, Asian or something else:

4
55
LO
9
5
3
5
1

EMC#14-5215 -6-

15. In what year were you born? (Do not read categories, code as appropriate)

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
1949 or earlier (65+)	19	19	27	31
1950-1954 (60-64)	11	10	12	12
1955-1959 (55-59)	11	10	14	10
1960-1964 (50-54)	13	9	8	9
1965-1969 (45-49)	9	11	7	9
1970-1974 (40-44)	12	8	7	6
1975-1979 (35-39)	6	8	7	5
1980-1984 (30-34)	5	6	4	4
1985-1989 (25-29)	4	5	3	2
1990-1996 (18-24)	7	8	5	4
(Refused)	3	5	6	7

THANK YOU!

SEX (RECORD BY OBSERVATION)

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u> 2014</u>
Male	47	47	48	48
Female	53	53	52	52
Party (From Sample)				
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Democrat	54	53	53	53
Republican	25	21	22	22
NPP/Other	21	27	25	25
Permanent Absentee (From Sample)				
		<u>2011</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Yes	-	55	64	62
No	-	45	36	38

EMC#14-5215 -7-

County (From Sample)

	<u>2006</u>	<u> 2011</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Alameda	21	20	20	20
Contra Costa	14	15	15	15
Marin	5	4	5	5
Napa	3	2	2	2
San Francisco	11	13	12	12
San Mateo	11	10	10	10
Santa Clara	22	22	23	22
Solano	6	7	5	6
Sonoma	8	7	8	8

Vote History (From Sample)

	<u>2006</u>	<u> 2011</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
0-3/6	-	41	28	29
4-5/6	-	29	35	32
6/6	-	30	38	39